

# ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N 1797

Date: 2008-09-30

REPLACES: —

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Data Management and Interchange</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)</b> <b>Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI</b></p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<b>DOCUMENT TYPE</b>	Summary of Voting/Table of Replies
<b>TITLE</b>	Summary of Voting on 32N1748 ISO/IEC FCD 19773 - Information technology - Metadata registries (MDR) Modules
<b>SOURCE</b>	SC32 Secretariat
<b>PROJECT NUMBER</b>	1.32.23.01.00.00
<b>STATUS</b>	Summary of Voting. WG2 is requested to resolve the comments. The document did not obtain substantial support.
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>ACTION ID.</b>	ACT
<b>REQUESTED ACTION</b>	
<b>DUE DATE</b>	
<b>Number of Pages</b>	16
<b>LANGUAGE USED</b>	English
<b>DISTRIBUTION</b>	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32  
Farance Inc \*, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America  
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: [Timothy@Schoechle.org](mailto:Timothy@Schoechle.org)  
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>  
\*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

## ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N1797

### Summary of Voting on Document SC 32 N 1748

**Title:** ISO/IEC FCD 19773 - Information technology - Metadata registries (MDR)  
Modules

Project: 1.32.23.01.00.00

<b>“P” Member</b>	<b>Approval</b>	<b>Approval with Comments</b>	<b>Disapproval with Comments</b>	<b>Abstention with Comments</b>
Australia				
Belgium				
Brazil				
Canada			1	
China	1			
Czech Republic	1			
Egypt				
Finland				
Germany				
Japan			1	
Kazakhstan				
Korea, Republic of		1		
Portugal				
Sweden				
United Kingdom			1	
United States		1		
<b>Total “P”</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>“O” Member</b>				
Austria				
Denmark				
France				
Italy				
Netherlands, The				
Norway				
Russian Federation				
Switzerland				
<b>Total “O”</b>				

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32  
Farance Inc \*, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America  
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: [Timothy@Schoechle.org](mailto:Timothy@Schoechle.org)  
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>  
\*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

## **COMMENTS:**

### **Canada**

No. See attached comments.

### **Japan**

No. The disposition in 32N1749 of the Japan CD ballot comment below is unclear.

In the introduction, there is a description that the International Standard specifies small modules of data to be used or reused in applications, such as the UPU postal code or the ITU-T E.164 phone number data. However, regarding other modules, it is necessary to be harmonized with SDOs that standardize such each data element.

On the other hand, if it is limited to metadata on 11179, each subject should be defined within the scope of existing edition. Otherwise, it could be difficult to address a data element for future version of 11179 series. These points are unclear and should make them clear.

If the registration of objects for MDR is intended, the Metamodel Registry (MFI) of ISO/IEC 19763 could be applicable. It should be addressed in the document.

### **Korea**

Yes. See attached comments.

### **United Kingdom**

No. See attached comments.

### **United States**

Yes. See attached comments.

### **ISO Central Secretariat**

See attached comments.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CA 01	3	All	ed	The organization of clause 3 into multiple sub-clauses by module makes it hard to find terms alphabetically. [Brought forward from unresolved CD ballot comment.]	Add an Annex that lists all terms alphabetically with reference to the clause number where the term is defined, as was done in 11179-3 Edition 2..	
CA 02	3.1	Multiple	te	The definitions of the terms relating to referencing need clarifying and/or correction. Since these terms are taken from 20944-1, similar comments will be made on that document. Several related comments follow.	Whatever the resolution of these comments, they must be consistent for 19773 and 20944-1.	
CA 03	3.1.2	Terms <b>referent</b> and <b>reference</b> and their definition	te	We take this entry out of sequence because it is key to the remaining comments. There are several issues:  (1) The terms <b>reference</b> and <b>referent</b> are listed as synonyms, but it is not necessary or useful to define synonyms. We should pick one term only.  (2) In this document, <b>reference</b> is used in Clause 10 (Refit), but in a way that seems inconsistent with the current definition.  (3) In this document referent is currently used only in the definition of <b>dereference</b> (which needs rewording)  (4) The meaning of these terms in English is ambiguous. Merriam-Webster Online defines both to mean either: something that refers or something that is referred to.	<b>Proposal:</b> Define reference and referent with different meanings, and ensure they are used as defined.  <b>reference</b> (noun): an association with a particular object (the referent) [The existing NOTE and EXAMPLE belong with the term reference.  <b>referent</b> (noun): an object that is referenced	
CA 04	3.1.1	Term ' <b>to reference</b> ' and its definition	te	The current definition is:  'to create an association with a particular object'	Propose appending: (the referent) to the current definition	
CA 05	3.1.3	Term ' <b>to dereference</b> ' and its definition	te	The current definition was provided by a Canadian CD ballot comment that was an attempt to clarify a previous definition. This definition is inconsistent with the changes above, and with the way the term is used in clause 10.	Proposed definition:  To access the referenced object (the referent)	
CA 06	10.4.3	<b>reference_</b> <b>scope</b>	te	The description of 'reference_scope' states that the structure and format 'or' this component is outside the Scope of this clause. For interoperability purposes, this	Specify an extensible structure and format for this component. (Editorial: change 'or' to 'of')	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				standard needs to specify the structure and format of this component. [Brought forward from unresolved CD ballot comment CA20. Resolution of comments was 'Will make change', but this has not been done.]		
CA 07	11.4.3.3	Footnote 15	ed	In footnote 15, 'refit' should be 'reflit'. [Brought forward from unresolved CD ballot comment CA27. Resolution of comments was 'Will make change', but this has not been done.]	Change 'refit' to 'reflit'	
CA 08	12.4.3.3	Footnote 19	ed	In footnote 19, 'refit' should be 'reflit'.	Change 'refit' to 'reflit'. Also, change 'which defined' to 'which is defined'	
CA 09	15.4.1	Line 1	ed	Correct grammar.	Change 'is relationship kind' to 'is a relationship kind'	
CA 10	19.5.2	Description	ed	The reference to the description is incorrect. [Previous ballot comment not applied.]	The reference should be to 19.4.2	
CA 11	21.5.2	Description	ed	The reference to the description is incorrect. [Previous ballot comment not applied.]	The reference should be to 21.4.2	
CA 12	21.5.3	Description	ed	The reference to the description is incorrect. [Previous ballot comment not applied.]	The reference should be to 21.4.3	
CA 13	<i>Front matter</i>	N/a	ed	The document contains multiple Figures and Tables but there is no easy way to locate them. We made this comment on the previous ballot, but the Editor's response was to defer this to ISO. It takes only a few minutes to have Word automatically generate a Table of Figures and Table of Tables, so we should include it to show we want it.	Add a Table of Figures and a Table of Tables.	

END

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Korean Comments on SC32 N1748

### Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-09-10

Document: ISO/IEC FCD 19773

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table /Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment 2	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
KR 01	7.1		ed	- The use of hyphens (-) indicate a range of clauses - syntax error	- The use of hyphens (-) indicates a range of clauses	
KR 02	10.3		ed	- To expressed directly - "syntax error"	- To be expressed directly	
KR 03	11.1		ed	- "Different based upon the context of use" that is not understand.		
KR 04	11.5.2.1		ed	- This subclauses defines - "syntax error" -	- This subclause defines	
KR 05	10.4.4		ed	- Encoding in ISO/IEC 10646 UTF-8 - "syntax error"	- encoded in ISO/IEC 10646 UTF-8	
KR 06	3.1.2 3.1.4		ed	- What is the reason using the term 'noun'?		
KR 07	17.4.2		te	- "The postal_number_class is comprised of an array of phone number elements, which ~ " - "In the figure 20 is in discord with the context."	- "The phone_number_class is comprised of an array of phone number elements, which ~ "	

1 **MB** = Member body

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general    **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-09-10

Document: ISO/IEC FCD 19773

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table /Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment 2	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
KO 08	17.4.3		te	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "The postal_number_class holds a portion of an individual representation of phone number element "</li> <li>- "In the figure 20 is in discord with the context."</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The phone_number_class holds a portion of an individual representation of phone number element</li> </ul>	
KO 09	18.1		ed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "Clause 18 is the data structure that correspond to the who-what-where-when-why-how(W5H) event data. Phone number are used within the contact information of the 11179-3 metamodel and also used within temporal ranges of validity of 11179-3 administered items. "</li> <li>- Is it correct explanation of the contents between second sentence and below 'Note'?</li> </ul>		
KR 010	16.8 ~21.8		ed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 16.8 ~21.8 Examples *** TO BE SUPPLIED ***</li> <li>- this version is not yet reflection of the examples</li> </ul>		
KR 011	19.5.2		ed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "See 19.5.2 for a description of the record and its components."</li> <li>- Mismatch (contents are out of accord with chapter)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 19.5.2 → 19.4.2</li> </ul>	
KR 012	21.5.2		ed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "See 20.5.2 for a description of the record and its components."</li> <li>- Mismatch (contents are out of accord with chapter)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 20.5.2 → 21.4.2</li> </ul>	
KR 013	21.5.3		ed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- See 20.5.3 for a description of the record and its components.</li> <li>- Mismatch (contents are out of accord with chapter)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 20.5.3 → 21.4.3</li> </ul>	

1 MB = Member body

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial



## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 10 September 2008

Document: ISO/IEC FCD 19773

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB01	General		ge	The continued use of placeholders gives the impression that the draft is incomplete.	Replace "Placeholder for future use" with "Reserved for future use".	
GB02	Introduction		ed	Unless the reader already knows the purpose of the document, this introduction provides little illumination. A substantial technical work deserves an introduction that advertises its achievements.	Improve introduction	
GB03	Contents		ed	All page numbers read "2".	Add correct page numbers.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Comment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

0001	US	2		ed	Need to verify Normative References are current and consistent with text.		
0002	US	3.13.3	note 3	ed	Need italics for "kind of" in "a dog is a kind of mamma".		
0003	US	3.16.1.9		ed	Update references to point to Clause 16.		
0004	US	3.16.1.18	note 1	ed	Remove double period at end of last sentence.		
0005	US	3.17.5		ed	Reword definition and remove note.	Change "may not conform to" to "is permitted not to conform to".	
0006	US	7.1	note 6	ed	Remove note 6 prior to FDIS ballot.		
0007	US	10.3	para 2	ed	Change "(string) literal" to "(characterstring) literal".		
0008	US	10.3	example 3	ed	Change "a single copy" to "a singular copy".		
0009	US	10.3	example 1, footnote 8	ed	Change "a description is used" to "a description (represented as a characterstring) is used".		
0010	US	10.3	example 1, footnote 8	ed	Change "such as aggregate data structures" to "such as aggregate data structure of non-textual data".		
0011	US	10.4.1	footnote 10	ed	Change "In this Part" to "In this International Standard".		
0012	US	10.4.1	footnote 10	ed	Update references to clauses / subclauses.		
0013	US	10.4.2	example	ed	Change "examples of navigable objects" to		

**1** NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

**2** Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Comment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

					"examples of navigable data objects".		
0014	US	10.4.2	para 1	ed	Change "space_time_extent" to "space_extent".		
0015	US	10.4.3	bullet 1.1	te	Investigate compatibility with URIs and internationalized IRIs		
0016	US	10.4.3	bullet 1.3	ed	Change "A filename" to "A filename (also known as a pathname)".		
0017	US	10.4.3	bullet 2	ed	Need to add syntax for bit/byte ordering and alignment.		
0018	US	10.4.4	bullet 3	ed	Make "integer_value" in italic		
0019	US	10.3.2	example	ed	Replace data elements "where" and "when" with "location" and "timing" to be consistent with type definition.		
0020	US	12.1	para 1	ed	Change "yet the presentation different" to "yet the presentations are different".		
0021	US	12.3.2	example	te	Need to reference current IETF RFCs on language specification. Update examples to be consistent with RFCs.		
0022	US	12.4.2.3		te	Need to verify ISO/IEC standards numbers. Need to verify consistency with ISO/IEC standards and RFCs.		
0023	US	12.4.3.3	example, footnote 19	ed	Change "which defined" to "which is defined".		
0024	US	12.5.2.2,		ed	Update references to clauses / subclauses.		

<sup>1</sup> NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Comment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

		12.5.2.3, 12.5.3.2, 12.5.3.3					
0025	US	General		ed	Correct old use of "DKV" and "dkv", should be "IKV" and "ikv".		
0026	US	13.4.2		te	Investigate whether or not "characterstring" used in "identifier" and "kind" (ikv_type_as_*) can be constrained to use URIs instead. In other words, is any characterstring permitted by the standard, or should these characterstrings be constrained to be URIs?		
0027	US	13.4.2	footnote 21	ed	Change "if the text encodings" to "if particular text encodings".		
0028	US	15.1		ed	Provide a couple motivating examples.		
0029	US	16		ed	Verify consistency with latest text for UPU S42.		
0030	US	17.1		te	Should provide codes for dialing features (pulse, tone, pause, etc.). These are in an ITU-T spec, but don't have the spec number handy.		
0031	US	17.1		ed	Should clarify that the use of non-initial "+" is a structural feature for phone numbers, e.g., in "0800990011+234" the "0800990011" and "234" are separate parts of the full phone number.		
0032	US	18		te	Need to have 1-line coding format for abbreviated use in (say) XML and RFC822 bindings.		

<sup>1</sup> NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Comment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

0033	US	18	example 1	ed	Change "with a business hours (0900 - 1700, Monday - Friday)" to "with the concept of business hours defined as 0900 to 1700, Monday to Friday".		
0034	US	18		te	Need to support holiday calendar overlays.		
0035	US	18.4.2		te	Need to explain syntax and parse order for operators.		
0036	US	18.4.4		ed	Add example of weather forecast as illustration of a spatial extent.		
0037	US	18.4.4		ed	Give examples of N-dimensional subject descriptions (e.g., a subject lattice).		
0038	US	18.4.4		ed	Give example of 6-dimension temporal extent using year, month, day, hour, minute, second.		
0039	US	18.4.4		ed	Give Where example using latitude-longitude-altitude.		
0040	US	18.4.4		ed	Give When example using ISO 8601.		
0041	US	19.1	last sentence	ed	Add How illustration.	Change "and a telephone number for emergencies (a Why event)" to "a telephone number for emergencies (a Why event), and a telephone number for faxes (a How event)".	
0042	US	19.1		ed	Need motivating example.		
0043	US	20.3		ed	Copy-paste error, need to provide example appropriate to Clause 20.		

**1** NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

**2** Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)

0	1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
#	NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note #	Comment type <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Proposed Disposition of Comments

0044	US	20.4.3	first sentence	ed	At the end of "is comprised of the W5H event data that localized the security credentials array" and ", i.e., the W5H event data provides the context in which the security credentials are applicable".		
0045	US	21.1		ed	Need motivating example.		
0046	US	21.4.2		te	For "relationship_kind", investigate whether the characterstring can be constrained to a URI. See US comment on IKV tuples and constraining to URIs.		

<sup>1</sup> NB = National body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the Secretariat editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. ISO electronic balloting commenting template (enhanced 2002-08)

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-09-25

Document: ISO/IEC FCD 19773

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
**	1, 2		ed	Users shall not be directed elsewhere than the ISO webstore to obtain International Standards.	Delete footnotes 1 and 2.	
**	2		ed	A normative reference fulfils the following criteria: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user is obliged to consult it in order to apply 19773.</li> <li>2. It is cited in 19773 in a way which makes it clear that the user is obliged to consult it in order to apply 19773. (e.g. "x shall be done in accordance with ISO 1234." or "The requirements of ISO 1234 apply."). References of the type "See ISO 1234." are purely informative and do not make ISO 1234 a normative reference.</li> <li>3. It is publicly available, or at least at FCD stage.</li> </ol>	Remove from Clause 2 all documents which are simply the sources of definitions given in Clause 3. Such documents may be listed in a bibliography at the end of the document.	
**	3		ed	Incorrect presentation.	Insert a carriage return before the source of definitions, given in square brackets. If the definition is not taken verbatim from another document but adapted from another definition, this shall be stated in a note.  See ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, D.1.4.  Remove leading zero from ISO/IEC 2382 part numbers: ISO/IEC 2382-04.	
**	3.1.2, 3.1.4, etc.		ed	It seems likely that ISO/IEC 19773 will be published before ISO/IEC 20944-1: An unpublished document cannot be given as the source of the definition.	If 20944-1 is not published before 19773, remove all occurrences of ISO/IEC 20944-1 throughout Clause 3.	
**	3.16.1.2, etc.		ed	Incorrect presentation.	Subject field in angle brackets shall appear before the definition, not before the term.	
**	General		ed	Table titles incorrectly positioned.	Move table titles above tables.	
**	3.10, 3.11, etc		ed	Unnecessary introductory paragraph.	Delete paragraph immediately following subclause title.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-09-25

Document: **ISO/IEC FCD 19773**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. Table 1)	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations</b> on each comment submitted

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.